

On the constant in the Mertens product for arithmetic progressions.

II. Numerical values

A. LANGUASCO and A. ZACCAGNINI

December 11, 2007

Abstract

We give explicit numerical values with 100 decimal digits for the constant in the Mertens product over primes in the arithmetic progressions $a \bmod q$, for $q \in \{3, \dots, 100\}$ and $(a, q) = 1$. AMS Classification: 11-04, 11Y60

1 Introduction

In our recent paper [5] we found a new expression for the constant $C(q, a)$ defined implicitly by

$$P(x; q, a) = \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \bmod q}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{C(q, a)}{(\log x)^{1/\phi(q)}} (1 + o(1)) \quad (1)$$

as $x \rightarrow +\infty$, where, here and throughout the present paper, $q \geq 3$ and a are fixed integers with $(a, q) = 1$, and p denotes a prime number. When $q \in \{1, 2\}$ the value of $C(q, a)$ can be deduced from the classical Mertens Theorem. In particular, we proved that

$$C(q, a)^{\phi(q)} = e^{-\gamma} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{\alpha(p; q, a)} \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha(p; q, a) = \phi(q) - 1$ if $p \equiv a \bmod q$ and $\alpha(p; q, a) = -1$ otherwise, and γ is the Euler constant. The infinite product is convergent, though not absolutely, by the Prime Number Theorem for Arithmetic Progressions.

In our paper [6] we proved that the constants $C(q, a)$ satisfy some interesting identities but, unfortunately, these are not suitable for numerical computations. Here we derive further identities, involving Dirichlet L -functions, that enable us to compute numerically the values of $C(q, a)$ with many digits for comparatively small q . Details of these identities are given in §2, and the results of our numerical computations are collected in §3: some sample values, truncated to 40 decimal digits, are shown in Tables 1–3. Finch [4] has done some numerical work in the case $q \in \{3, 4\}$.

We would like to express our warmest thanks to Henry Cohen for an illuminating discussion.

2 Theoretical framework

In this section we concentrate on the numerical computation of the values of the constant $C(q, a)$ for comparatively small values of q , starting from our formula (2), and give the theoretical framework for the results in §3. We adhere to the notations in the books by Henri Cohen [1, 2].

We will use the following convention: for any real positive constant A and for any Dirichlet L function, we write

$$L_A(\chi, s) = \prod_{p>A} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

and do similarly for other Euler products. We want to compute

$$\varphi(q) \log C(q, a) = -\gamma + \log \frac{q}{\varphi(q)} - \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \bar{\chi}(a) \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^m}$$

Notice that the last sum over p is $\sim \chi(2) 2^{-m}$ when m is large. We compute the sum over p by Möbius inversion; let A be a fixed positive constant: then

$$\sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^m} = \sum_{p \leq Aq} \frac{\chi(p)}{p^m} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(L_{Aq}(\chi^k, km)).$$

Therefore

$$\varphi(q) \log C(q, a) = -\gamma + \log \prod_{p \leq Aq} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{\alpha(p; q, a)} - \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \bar{\chi}(a) \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(L_{Aq}(\chi^k, km)). \quad (3)$$

Grouping the terms with the same value of km , we see that the last part is

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(L_{Aq}(\chi^k, km)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) \log(L_{Aq}(\chi^k, n))$$

Notice that the Riemann zeta function is never computed at $s = 1$ in (3), since $km = 1$ implies $k = 1$, and this in its turn implies $\chi^k = \chi = \chi_0$. For $n > 1$ we use

$$|\log(L_{Aq}(\chi^k, n))| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)(Aq)^{n-1}}. \quad (4)$$

This inequality is a consequence of the following Lemma. We remark that a stronger result is valid for small n , but the simple bound below suffices for our application.

Lemma 1 *Let $\chi \text{ mod } q$ be any character and $n \geq 2$ be an integer. If $B \geq 1$ is an integer then*

$$|\log(L_B(\chi, n))| \leq \frac{B^{1-n}}{n-1}.$$

Proof. By the triangle inequality

$$|\log(L_B(\chi, n))| = \left| \sum_{p>B} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\chi^m(p)}{mp^{mn}} \right| \leq \sum_{p>B} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{mp^{mn}} \leq \sum_{k>B} \frac{1}{k^n} \leq \int_B^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t^n} = \frac{B^{1-n}}{n-1},$$

as required. \square

We have thus reduced the task of the computation of $\log(C(q, a))$ to computing $\log(L_{Aq}(\chi^k, n))$ to 100 decimal places, say. In what follows we denote by χ a generic Dirichlet character mod q and by $n \geq 1$ an integer.

First step We write

$$L_{Aq}(\chi, n) = L(\chi, n) \prod_{p \leq Aq} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^n}\right)$$

for a convenient value of A .

Second step: reduction to primitive characters Assume now that $\chi \bmod q$ is induced by $\chi_f \bmod f$, where f is the conductor of χ . Then we have the identity

$$L(\chi, n) = L(\chi_f, n) \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{\chi_f(p)}{p^n}\right).$$

In particular, we recall that if $\chi = \chi_0 \bmod q$ then

$$L(\chi_0, n) = \zeta(n) \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^n}\right).$$

Third step: first case Now assume that χ is a primitive character modulo f and that $\chi(-1) = (-1)^n$. Then, by Proposition 10.2.4 of Cohen [2] we have the explicit formula

$$L(\chi, n) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n-1+(n+e)/2} W(\chi) \sqrt{f} \left(\frac{2\pi}{f}\right)^n \frac{\overline{B_n(\chi)}}{n!}, \quad (5)$$

where $W(\chi)$ denotes the *root number* of χ (see Definition 2.2.25 in [1]), $e = 0$ if χ is even and $e = 1$ if χ is odd, and $B_n(\chi)$ denotes the χ -*Bernoulli number* which, in its turn, is defined by means of the n -th *Bernoulli polynomial* $B_n(x)$ (see [2], Definition 9.1.1), as follows

$$B_n(\chi) = f^{n-1} \sum_{a=0}^{f-1} \chi(a) B_n\left(\frac{a}{f}\right).$$

This definition is valid both for primitive and imprimitive characters. This is the last identity of Proposition 9.4.5 in Cohen [2].

Third step: second case If χ is non-principal and $\chi(-1) = (-1)^{n+1}$, there are two possibilities.

- Use the χ -Euler-MacLaurin summation formula (the number of steps is proportional to q , but all terms are elementary); see Cohen [2], Corollary 9.4.18;
- Use the functional equation, which is valid if χ is primitive: this would take a smaller number of steps, of the order $\asymp \sqrt{q} \log q$, but it needs the computation of the incomplete Γ function.

For q small, we use the Euler-MacLaurin summation formula. When computing $L(\chi, n)$ with n large, the functional equation does not take into account the fact that $L(\chi, n) = 1 + \chi(2)2^{-n} +$ very much smaller terms.

When using the Euler-MacLaurin formula we take a multiple N of q and for $\Re(s) > 1$ write

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{r < N} \frac{\chi(r)}{r^s} + B_0(\chi) \frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1} - \frac{1}{N^s} \sum_{j=1}^T \frac{(-1)^{j-1} B_j(\chi)}{j!} \frac{s(s+1) \cdots (s+j-2)}{N^{j-1}} + R(T), \quad (6)$$

where

$$R(T) = -\frac{1}{T!} s(s+1) \cdots (s+T-1) \int_N^{+\infty} B_T(\chi^-, \{t\}_\chi) \frac{dt}{t^{s+T}},$$

$$B_T(\chi^-, \{t\}_\chi) = f^{T-1} \sum_{r \bmod f} \chi^-(r) B_T\left(\left\{\frac{t+r}{f}\right\}\right)$$

and $\chi^-(n) = \chi(-n)$; see the Definitions 9.4.2 and 9.4.10 in [2]. The asymptotic series above is *not* convergent: we take terms until $R(T)$ reaches a small minimum, before it starts growing again.

Notice that $B_0(\chi) = 0$ in (6) for non-principal χ by Proposition 9.4.5 of Cohen [2] and the remarks following it immediately. This is indeed crucial for the rapidity of convergence.

When $\chi(-1) = (-1)^n$ we use (5) to estimate $B_n(\chi) \asymp (q/2\pi)^n$. If $\chi(-1) = (-1)^{n+1}$ then $B_n(\chi) = 0$.

Computation of the root number If χ is a primitive character modulo q , then the *root number* $W(\chi)$ is defined by means of

$$W(\chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{q} i^e} \quad \text{where} \quad \chi(-1) = (-1)^e \quad \text{and } e \in \{0, 1\},$$

and $\tau(\chi) = \sum_{r=1}^q \chi(r) e(r/q)$ is the Gauss sum. It is well known that $|W(\chi)| = 1$. If $\chi^2 = \chi_0$ then χ is a Legendre symbol and $W(\chi) = 1$.

For q small, this is alright. For q large, we use the functional equation which is valid for primitive χ , introduce

$$c(\chi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) e^{-\pi n^2}$$

and notice that

$$W(\chi) = \frac{c(\chi)}{i^e \overline{c(\chi)}}.$$

3 Description of the computer program

First of all we need to generate the complete set of Dirichlet characters mod q and also to compute their orders and conductors and whether they are primitive or not. To this end we follow the argument in §4 of Davenport [3]: we first generate the characters for any $p^\alpha \mid q$, paying particular attention to the case when q is an even integer, and then we build by multiplication the characters to the modulus $p_1^\alpha p_2^\beta$ with $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $p_1, p_2 \mid q$. To compute the order and the primitivity of this character we use Proposition 2.1.34 of [1]. The conductor of a character is obtained using the necessary and sufficient condition described in Lemma 2.1.32 of [1].

In order to evaluate (3) using a computer program we have to truncate the sums over k and m and to estimate the error we are introducing. Let $M, K > 1$ be two integers. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{p>Aq} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{\alpha(p;q,a)} &= - \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \bar{\chi}(a) \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p>Aq} \frac{\chi(p)}{p^m} - \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \bar{\chi}(a) \sum_{m>M} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p>Aq} \frac{\chi(p)}{p^m} \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \bar{\chi}(a) \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(L_{Aq}(\chi^k, km)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \bar{\chi}(a) \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k>K} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(L_{Aq}(\chi^k, km)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \bar{\chi}(a) \sum_{m>M} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p>Aq} \frac{\chi(p)}{p^m} \\ &= -S(q, a) - E_1(q, a, A, K) - E_2(q, a, A, M), \end{aligned}$$

say. Using (4) and the trivial bound for χ , it is easy to see that

$$|E_1(q, a, A, K)| \leq \frac{2Aq(\phi(q) - 1)}{2K(Aq - 1)[(Aq)^K - 1]}$$

and

$$|E_2(q, a, A, M)| \leq \frac{Aq(\phi(q) - 1)}{M(M-1)(Aq-1)(Aq)^M}.$$

In order to ensure that $S(q, a)$ is a good approximation of $C(q, a)$ it is sufficient that Aq, K and M are sufficiently large. Setting $Aq = 9600$ and $K = M = 26$ yields the desired 100 correct decimal digits.

Now we have to consider the error we are introducing during the evaluation of the Dirichlet L -functions that appear in $S(q, a)$. Notice that in the case involving the Bernoulli numbers we use an exact formula: hence we just need to evaluate the error introduced by the $R(T)$ term in the Euler-McLaurin summation formula (6). In fact the Euler-McLaurin summation formula is used in about $1/4$ of the total cases but we are now just looking for an upper bound and so we will sum $|R(T)|$ over $m \leq M$ and $k \leq K$.

Assume now that $T \geq 2$ is an even integer and $q \mid N$. For any non-principal character $\chi^k \text{ mod } q$ (6) implies that

$$L_{T,N}(\chi^k, km) = \sum_{r<N} \frac{\chi^k(r)}{r^{km}} - \frac{1}{N^{km}} \sum_{j=1}^T \frac{(-1)^{j-1} B_j(\chi^k)}{j!} \frac{km(km+1) \cdots (km+j-2)}{N^{j-1}}$$

and hence we get

$$L_{Aq}(\chi^k, km) = \Pi \left(L_{T,N}(\chi^k, km) - E_3(q, m, k, N, T, \chi^k) \right),$$

where Π denotes the finite products we wrote in the first and second step of §2. Moreover it is clear that

$$|E_3(q, m, k, N, T, \chi^k)| \leq \frac{km(km+1) \cdots (km+T-1)}{T!} \int_N^{+\infty} |B_T((\chi^k)^-, \{t\}_{\chi^k})| t^{-km-T} dt.$$

Hence

$$\left| \log(L_{Aq}(\chi^k, km)) - \log\left(\Pi \cdot L_{T,N}(\chi^k, km)\right) \right| \leq \left| \frac{E_3(q, m, k, N, T, \chi^k)}{L_{T,N}(\chi^k, km)} \right|$$

and the total error arising in the computation of the Dirichlet L -functions can be obtained summing the previous estimate over m and k . For T even, trivial estimates and Proposition 9.1.3 of [2] imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B_T((\chi^k)^-, \{t\}_{\chi^k}) \right| &\leq f^{T-1} \sum_{r \bmod f} \left| \chi^k(-r) B_T \left(\left\{ \frac{t+r}{f} \right\} \right) \right| \\ &\leq f^{T-1} \sum_{r \bmod f} \left| \sum_{j=0}^T \binom{T}{j} B_j \left\{ \frac{t+r}{f} \right\}^{T-j} \right| \leq f^T B_T, \end{aligned}$$

where $f \mid q$ is the conductor of χ^k and B_T is the T -th Bernoulli number. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| E_3(q, m, k, N, T, \chi^k) \right| &\leq \frac{km(km+1) \cdots (km+T-1) q^T B_T}{T!} \frac{N^{1-km-T}}{km+T-1} \\ &= \frac{q^T B_T}{T!} (km) \cdots (km+T-2) N^{1-km-T}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let

$$U(q, M, K, N, T) = \min_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \min_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq K \\ 1 \leq m \leq M}} |L_{T,N}(\chi^k, km)|.$$

The total error arising in the computation of the Dirichlet L -functions is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |E_4(q, a, M, K, N, T)| &\leq \frac{(\phi(q)-1)q^T B_T}{U(q, M, K, N, T)} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \frac{1}{k} \frac{(km) \cdots (km+T-2)}{T!} N^{1-km-T} \\ &= \frac{(\phi(q)-1)q^T B_T}{U(q, M, K, N, T)T!} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} (km+1) \cdots (km+T-2) N^{1-km-T} \\ &\leq \frac{(\phi(q)-1)(KM+T-2)^{T-2} q^T B_T}{U(q, M, K, N, T)N^{T-1} T!} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} N^{-km} \\ &\leq \frac{2(\phi(q)-1)(KM+T-2)^{T-2} q^T B_T}{(N-1)U(q, M, K, N, T)N^{T-1} T!}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\tilde{C}(q, a) = \left(e^{-\gamma} \prod_{p \leq Aq} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{\alpha(p; q, a)} \exp(-S(q, a)) \right)^{1/\phi(q)}$$

and collecting the previous estimates, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| C(q, a) - \tilde{C}(q, a) \right| &\leq \tilde{C}(q, a) \left| \exp\left(-\frac{E(q, a, A, M, K, N, T)}{\phi(q)}\right) - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \tilde{C}(q, a) \frac{|E(q, a, A, M, K, N, T)|}{\phi(q)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E(q, a, A, M, K, N, T)$ denotes $E_1(q, a, A, K) + E_2(q, a, A, M) + E_4(q, a, M, K, N, T)$.

Summing up, the final error we have in computing $C(q, a)$ as $\tilde{C}(q, a)$ is

$$E_{final}(q, a, A, K, M, T, N) \leq \tilde{C}(q, a) \frac{|E(q, a, A, M, K, N, T)|}{\phi(q)}.$$

Practical experimentations for $q \in \{3, \dots, 100\}$ suggested to use different ranges for N and T to reach a precision of at least 100 decimal digits in a reasonable amount of time. Using $Aq = 9600$, $M = K = 26$ and recalling that $q \mid N$ and T is even, our choice is $N = (\lfloor 16800/q \rfloor + 1)q$ and $T = 88$ if $q \in \{3, \dots, 10\}$, while for $q \in \{90, \dots, 100\}$ we have to use $N = (\lfloor 40320/q \rfloor + 1)q$ and $T = 204$. Intermediate ranges are used for the remaining integers q .

The programs we used to compute the Dirichlet characters mod q and the values of $C(q, a)$ for $q \in \{3, \dots, 100\}$, $1 \leq a \leq q$, $(a, q) = 1$, were written using the Gp scripting language of PARI/Gp; the C program was obtained from the Gp one using the gp2c tool. The actual computations were performed using several LinuX pcs and one Apple MacMini computer for a total amount of computing time equal to 1897.036096 hours = 79.043171 days.

A tiny part of the final results is collected in the following tables. The complete set of results can be downloaded from www.math.unipd.it/~languasc/MComput.html together with the source program in Gp and the results of the verifications of the identities (7) and (8) which are described in the section below.

4 Verification of consistency

The set of constants $C(q, a)$ satisfies many identities, and we checked our results verifying that these identities hold within a very small error. The basic identities that we exploited are two: the first one is

$$\prod_{\substack{a \text{ mod } q \\ (a, q) = 1}} C(q, a) = e^{-\gamma} \frac{q}{\phi(q)}. \quad (7)$$

This can be verified using either the definition (1) or the identity (2), taking into account the fact that primes dividing q do not occur in any of the products $P(x; q, a)$.

The other identity is valid whenever we take two moduli q_1 and q_2 with $q_1 \mid q_2$ and $(a, q_1) = 1$. In this case we have

$$C(q_1, a) = \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ (a+jq_1, q_2)=1}}^{n-1} C(q_2, a+jq_1) \prod_{\substack{p \mid q_2 \\ p \equiv a \pmod{q_1}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \quad (8)$$

where $n = q_2/q_1$. The proof depends on the fact that the residue class $a \pmod{q_1}$ is the union of the classes $a + jq_1 \pmod{q_2}$, for $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. If q_1 and q_2 have the same set of prime factors the condition $(a + jq_1, q_2) = 1$ is automatically satisfied, since $(a, q_1) = 1$ by our hypothesis. On the other hand, if q_2 has a prime factor p that q_1 lacks, then there are values of j such that $p \mid (a + jq_1, q_2)$ and the corresponding value of $C(q_2, a + jq_1)$ in the right hand side of (8) would be undefined. The product at the far right takes into account these primes.

To prove (8), let $P(x; q, a)$ be defined by the relation on the far left of (1), without restrictions on q and a . Then, for $(a, q_1) = 1$ and $x \geq q_2$ write

$$P(x; q_1, a) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} P(x; q_2, a + jq_1) = \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ (a+jq_1, q_2)=1}}^{n-1} P(x; q_2, a + jq_1) \Pi(x; q_2, q_1, a),$$

say. The primes $p \leq x$ such that $p \equiv a \pmod{q_1}$ and $p \nmid q_2$ appear in the product in the right hand side above, since there is exactly one value of j such that $p \equiv a + jq_1 \pmod{q_2}$ and for any such prime it is obvious that $(a + jq_1, q_2) = 1$. The only primes that are left are those lying in the residue class $a \pmod{q_1}$ and that divide q_2 . Hence $\Pi(x; q_2, q_1, a)$ is exactly the product on the far right of (8). Now (8) follows multiplying by a suitable power of $\log x$ and taking the limit as $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

The validity of (7) was checked immediately at the end of the computation of the constants $C(q, a)$, for a fixed q and for every $1 \leq a \leq q$ with $(a, q) = 1$ by the same program that computed them. These results were collected in a file and a different program checked that (8) holds within a very small error by building every possible relation of that kind for every $q_2 \in \{3, \dots, 100\}$ and $q_1 \mid q_2$ with $1 < q_1 < q_2$. The total number of identities checked is

$$\sum_{q=3}^{100} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ 1 < d < q}} \varphi(d) = \sum_{q=3}^{100} (q - 1 - \varphi(q)) = 1907.$$

These identities are not independent on one another, but we did not bother to eliminate redundancies since the total time requested for this part of the computation is absolutely negligible. The number of independent identities is

$$\sum_{q=3}^{100} \sum_{\substack{p|q \\ p < q}} \varphi\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \sum_{n=2}^{100} \pi\left(\frac{100}{n}\right) \varphi(n) = 1408,$$

where p denotes a prime in the sum on the left.

We wish to thank K. Belabas and B. Allombert for their hints about PARI/Gp and gp2c and L. Righi for his help using the pcs of the NumLab laboratory www.numlab.math.unipd.it of the Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics of the University of Padova. We also wish to thank C. Fassino for having read a preliminary version of this paper.

References

- [1] H. Cohen. *Number Theory. Volume 1: Tools and Diophantine Equations*, volume 239 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 2007.
- [2] H. Cohen. *Number Theory. Volume 2: Analytic and Modern Tools*, volume 240 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 2007.
- [3] H. Davenport. *Multiplicative Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag, third edition, 2000.
- [4] S. Finch. Mertens' formula. Preprint. <http://algo.inria.fr/csolve/mrtns.pdf>, 2007.
- [5] A. Languasco and A. Zaccagnini. A note on Mertens' formula for arithmetic progressions. *J. Number Theory*, 127:37–46, 2007.
- [6] A. Languasco and A. Zaccagnini. On the constant in the Mertens product for arithmetic progressions. I. Identities. *ArXiv website*, 2007. arXiv:0706.2807.

q	a	$C(q,a)$	digits
3	1	1.4034774468278563951360958591826816440307...	104
3	2	0.6000732161773216733074128367849176047200...	104
4	1	1.2923041571286886071091383898704320653429...	104
4	3	0.8689277682343238299091527791046529122939...	104
5	1	1.2252384385390845800576097747492205275405...	103
5	2	0.5469758454112634802383012874308140377519...	104
5	3	0.8059510404482678640573768602784309320812...	104
5	4	1.2993645479149779881608400149642659095025...	103
:	:	:	:
9	1	1.1738495868654491902701394683919739604995...	103
9	2	0.5455303829342851960446307443914437164832...	104
9	4	1.1336038613343693249917335959075962374233...	103
9	5	0.9412310917798332515572574704874703583166...	103
9	7	1.0547066156548587451082819988401491024340...	103
9	8	1.1686623008402869661248081381642176283145...	103
:	:	:	:
15	1	1.1617073088517756555676638861655356817964...	103
15	2	0.5531662836641193792434413294289420522197...	104
15	4	1.1368510737193937042392719219836177668605...	103
15	7	0.9888090824844727678176951687669703243697...	103
15	8	1.1248826700801117041084787027689447040760...	103
15	11	1.0546877248711663022320456767412694068618...	103
15	13	1.0747134726382660587745323674368168616132...	103
15	14	1.1429505393911402552425384830238885435764...	103
:	:	:	:
21	1	1.1141670280743936828731735756576813156065...	103
21	2	0.5383301255587159174351133305605833477678...	104
21	4	1.1185837991946284893102162561180399170905...	103
21	5	0.8804463747350350872193530732768812838973...	103
21	8	1.0809444954913878156248769107211013330026...	103
21	10	1.0855302392682037293388720447231438521276...	103
21	11	1.0128344672130266463968855892485398266065...	103
21	13	1.0371155725767642823358797876916780548258...	103
21	16	1.1035547306497255785825571380877055652196...	103
21	17	1.0486412692857397440465915448981610476825...	103
21	19	1.0574758123265342759648524359814135750529...	103
21	20	1.1027671924237418176511972126578877947364...	103

Table 1: Some numerical results: the first column contains the modulus q , the second the residue class a , the third the computed value of $C(q,a)$ and the fourth is the number of correct decimal digits we obtained. The table shows the values truncated to 40 decimal digits.

q	a	$C(q,a)$	digits
39	1	1.0558043473142841979273107487867952159449...	103
39	2	0.5203026628809482277529964233919621231701...	103
39	4	1.0467551202397323195593324251885584436643...	103
39	5	0.8477108709928609050405112584700448177533...	103
39	7	0.9131634445753290856338897033232908456824...	103
39	8	1.0491976120090375508070956898591030898489...	103
39	10	1.0644889181790139210569905090072544013982...	103
39	11	0.9611802851802015744645440449091664544815...	103
39	14	1.0471282217602293552090665345631733882042...	103
39	16	1.0694449785599316393966557136726680120488...	103
39	17	1.0027080336857767080150127190485342860222...	103
39	19	1.0063790089466405557887479935647072297591...	103
39	20	1.0467993224064620442361201103591601719183...	103
39	22	1.052188431166946092725733479303503936214...	103
39	23	1.0114747946261577434516887836293420101981...	103
39	25	1.0597693417994788378992764465883123963780...	103
39	28	1.0529671095629036217092386664444649064610...	103
39	29	1.0267423753797454160121131413618162768076...	103
39	31	1.0297283934645776984576326942483733223668...	103
39	32	1.0482866374125031516972329668035300513497...	103
39	34	1.0472581549429544593781995140831083054063...	103
39	35	1.0562593819557667826211305540931669587921...	103
39	37	1.0385638656749415055234884100430210797446...	103
39	38	1.0674150481593719996424991312912670083485...	103

Table 2: Some numerical results: the first column contains the modulus q , the second the residue class a , the third the computed value of $C(q,a)$ and the fourth is the number of correct decimal digits we obtained. The table shows the values truncated to 40 decimal digits.

q	a	$C(q,a)$	digits
84	1	1.0762168747360169189445984481112147917766...	103
84	5	0.8423464320992898808305526411222358430753...	103
84	11	0.9670462929845278524311619985091112662169...	103
84	13	0.9746953940834972813365085898448043371424...	103
84	17	0.9978335235521385853486954919220491056500...	103
84	19	1.0042721918535182457015722654932145385404...	103
84	23	1.0128902359146896167524723309894756202191...	103
84	25	1.0625109746049189658962532302336200526631...	103
84	29	1.0217856732501917185719533836132834670012...	103
84	31	1.0324778423499473481419749332801549343076...	103
84	37	1.0448633446823406686188909998297275362347...	103
84	41	1.0483511545557197512968002104563579599259...	103
84	43	1.0352625518417795493214543003655548678836...	103
84	47	1.0452307283367875092541042542165185077145...	103
84	53	1.0473484822398583732227792995221792774100...	103
84	55	1.0640407032516661060398721577715786126086...	103
84	59	1.0509180585081298515408918851194537493615...	103
84	61	1.0529772913206146375443030010561915545034...	103
84	65	1.0629584657266981779431184953028111293016...	103
84	67	1.0527738780397628191309530077617335181157...	103
84	71	1.0578974865179095395282678213164071324168...	103
84	73	1.051383569450272848866738616694632680665...	103
84	79	1.0561713512739106221130859861434109044623...	103
84	83	1.051906307949918759577893301342325552061...	103

Table 3: Some numerical results: the first column contains the modulus q , the second the residue class a , the third the computed value of $C(q,a)$ and the fourth is the number of correct decimal digits we obtained. The table shows the values truncated to 40 decimal digits.

A. Languasco
Università di Padova
Dipartimento di Matematica
Pura e Applicata
Via Trieste 63
35121 Padova, Italy
e-mail: languasco@math.unipd.it

A. Zaccagnini
Università di Parma
Dipartimento di Matematica
Parco Area delle Scienze, 53/a
Campus Universitario
43100 Parma, Italy
e-mail: alessandro.zaccagnini@unipr.it